Colo-

Oreasury Department,

Washington, D. C., August 3, 1882.

a. London Snowden, Esg.
Suft. W. S. Mint,
Philadelphia, Pa.

Referring to voucher No. 2983/4 of your ordinary expense account for the month of June reimbursing from your contingent appropriation - \$19,133.46, on account of Meller and Refiner and Corner's gold wastage, I have to call your attention to the fact that the entire gold wastage of the Meller and Refiner with deduction of surplus bullion has been haid from the appropriation for contingents expenses while the Regulations

RG104 E-1 Box 127 July-Nov 1882 provide, page 16, that parting and refining should hay for such proportion of that officer's gold wastage, in excess of the value of any surplus bullion as the number of nuces of gold bullion operated whom in the refinery during the whole year bears to the whole amount of gold bullion refused and made into I will thank you to inform me why the apportionment was not made between your contingent and parting and refining appropriations as required by the Regulations just-quoted. Because the melter to bery rescheetfully, Refiner reports that Refiner was no wasters Refiner on the Refining operations acting aline clar.

RG104 E-1 Box 127 July-Nov 1882 A.D.W. Wash. U.B. any. 3/82 R. S. Frey lan Engine, Why May Wastup his not made he -- twee the Contingent approx a, signification h the Regulations.

apportiment & Parting & Reffining [Abstract:] Enquires why appropriations [illegible] wastage was not made... 730

E.O.L.

Treasury Department, Bureau of the Mint, Washington, D.C., August 3, 1882

A. Loudon Snowden, Esq. Supt. U.S. Mint, Philadelphia, Pa.

Sir: -

Referring to voucher No. 298 ¾ of your ordinary expense account for the month of June reimbursing from your contingent appropriation - \$19,133.76 on account of Melter and Refiner and Coiner' gold wastage. I have to call your attention to the fact that the entire gold wastage of the Melter and Refiner with deduction of surplus bullion has been paid from the appropriation for contingent expenses, while the Regulations provide, page 16, that parting and refining should pay for such proportion of that officer's gold wastage in excess of the value of any surplus bullion as the number of ounces of gold bullion operated upon in the refinery during the whole year bears to the whole amount of gold bullion refined and made into ingots.

I will thank you to inform me why the apportionment was not made between your contingent and parting and refining appropriations as required by the Regulations just quoted.

Very respectfully, R.E. Preston Acting Director.

Answer -

Because the Melter & Refiner reports that there was $\underline{\text{no wastage}}$ on the Refinery operations. L.R.C